

MATRIX ENTERTAINMENT
presents

SPOLiER

How the Tea Party Revolution Could Succeed

Written & Directed by

JAMES JAEGER

Inspired by the book

The Conservative Revolution
by NELSON HULTBERG

A Matrixx Production

Copyright 2010
by James R. Jaeger II
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

Draft of 04 August 2010

NARRATOR 1
NO NEW PARTY SINCE ROSS PEROT

Remember this guy? Whether those memories are fair or foul, at least one thing can be said: Ross Perot shook things up. He brought a new voice to the political scene. Some say he siphoned off votes from the Republicans giving the Democrats a clear victory in the election of '92. He was a SPOILER. But was he just a spoiler, or did he challenge the very political ORDER?

Regardless, the Democrats and Republicans made sure a ROSS PEROT would never happen again. And it never has. Here we are in 2010 and there has not been a Ross Perot since. No third party candidate has even come close to standing upon that debate stage with the entrenched parties. Ever wonder how this has been accomplished? Let's take a close look.

What are the Democrats and Republicans DOING with this nation? Are they the spoilers or is the Commission of Presidential Debates the spoiler? How have these groups been able to monopolize power for so many decades? Let's see what's REALLY spoiling America.

NARRATOR 2
ENDLESS PRIVILEGES FROM DEMS & GOP

Most Americans have resigned themselves to the idea that they will have either a Democrat or Republican in the White House. Every 4 years the frenzied call for change emanates from the citizenry. Often we FLIP to the Democrats because those darn Republicans are messing everything up and then 4 to 8 years later we FLOP back to the Republicans because the darn Democrats aren't doing any better.

As the American people continue to flip and flop, the national debt continues to grow. From the 4 trillion of Ross Perot's time, to over 12 trillion today and long-term liabilities exceeding 70 trillion. As this continues, each major party promises so-called change. Promises smaller government. Promises more efficient government. Nevertheless, the government does not become more efficient, and it does not become smaller. Instead it grows larger and larger, consuming ever greater shares of GDP, ever greater shares of our productivity while demanding ever more taxes to pay for it all.

And while this continues, the Democrats and Republicans make ever more promises in order to get elected. Ever more privileges, ever more subsidies, ever more programs, ever more welfare are handed out. And the citizens continue to vote for whoever will deliver. Eventually the citizens feel "entitled" to all these privileges, thus a new word -- ENTITLEMENTS -- enters the lexicon ... AND national budget. Eventually citizens feel they are not just ENTITLED to privileges, the privileges are a RIGHT. Thus new RIGHTS enter the lexicon, rights that are in clear conflict with the very Constitution that gave birth to the U.S. Republic.

Before long taxes aren't enough to pay for all the privileges, entitlements and new "rights" -- the government must start PRINTING money. And it does, courtesy of the Federal Reserve System and the elite bankers who have been granted the special privilege of counterfeiting money.

NARRATOR 3
NOTHING SEEMS TO CHANGE

And as the printing and taxing escalates, the value of the dollar goes down and the productivity of the citizens is suppressed by an ever-increasing burden of debt, inflation and loss of purchasing power. The U.S. Citizens become strapped to a European-style treadmill in order to pay for all the privileges they feel they are entitled to.

All this continues, no matter which political party is in power. It continues because those who work in government benefit. They benefit because they get to be the middle men in the gargantuan welfare state we are collectively creating. Like government, middle men don't add value, they just collect fees between buyers and sellers, between consumers and producers. In short, they are administrative parasites. The U.S. Government -- in order to provide entitlements that certain people demand -- is now little more than a multi-trillion dollar parasite on an otherwise free-market economy.

Though the Democrats and Republicans promise change, the parasite never goes away. It only gets bigger. NOTHING changes. We continue our relentless march from the limited republic envisioned by the Founders to the global monstrosity we now have threatening every corner of the planet. How can this happen? What's going on? How come nothing ever changes, or the "change" leads right back to the same conditions: a bigger WELfare state ... a bigger WARfare state? No matter which party is in power, nothing seems to "spoil" the relentless expansion of government. The welfare-warfare state continues to grow unspoiled.

The STATIST agenda continues to spoil the Republic Ben Franklin and the Founders established. The deficit and debt now strangle our future.

NARRATOR 4
MAKING FUN OF ROSS

The astute citizen will recall that Ross Perot warned us of much of this. But what did some do with this wise -- third party -- advice? They made fun of his charts and haircut. They said Perot will spoil George Bush's chance of getting elected. They said he will preempt conservative votes and get Bill Clinton elected. And Bill did get elected, but so what? Would the Republicans have governed any differently? Clinton expanded the bureaucracies of Washington, gutted the U.S. manufacturing base with NAFTA, opened the borders to illegals, and undermined American sovereignty with such things as PD Directive 25. But the Republican George W. Bush did exactly the same. In fact most would say that the younger Bush, and now Obama, have expanded the oppressiveness of government even worse.

So what did Ross Perot really spoil compared to Bill Clinton, George Bush and Barak Obama? Ross warned us that American jobs would go south and beyond, that NAFTA would destroy the middle class, that debt would overwhelm us. Both Clinton and Bush promoted NAFTA and the globalist agenda in defiance of the middle class, and debt has since overwhelmed us. Ross warned us of the Flint Michigans and Roger Smiths that would come, but who listened: Ross Perot was spoiling the multinationals' party.

Ross was spoiling the corporate elites' agenda of exploiting cheap foreign labor for ever higher profits, profits that are now "sheltered" in off-shore banks.

NARRATOR 5
CITIZENS CONSTANTLY PAY THE BILLS

Thus, no matter which party is in power, American citizens end up paying the bill. They always get the short end of the stick. Whether its higher taxes or fewer jobs; less purchasing power or more regulations, WE THE PEOPLE are getting screwed. The government that our forefathers founded, of, by and for the People, has been hijacked by cultural Marxists and corporate fascists who are using the Democratic and Republican parties to endlessly expand government, justified by all manner of special privileges and welfare. Who benefits? Always the middlemen -- the state -- even though the philosophy put forward by statist is that all these entitlements are for the GREATER GOOD.

The power groups, and ignorant citizens, that keep the Democrats and Republicans in power do so in order to maintain the status quo of the welfare state. No matter which decade, each entrenched party promises change, yet delivers more and more special privileges. And with such handouts come regulations and more and more state oppression. This modus operandi is in direct conflict with the vision of the Founding Fathers. The vision upon which the U.S. was established is a vision that the government is there to PROTECT Liberty, not PROVIDE privileges.

Constitutional government is not supposed to dominate our lives, it is supposed to provide security through things like military, police and courts -- not provide corporate welfare and health care. Government is supposed to be large enough to provide those necessities the free market cannot and protect our liberty, property and lives.

NARRATOR 6
WE BECOME A NANNY STATE

But is this happening today? Did the government even protect our property and lives on 9-11? Somewhere in the past 100 years we have gone off the rails. The philosophic views held by most Americans today have changed, given they tolerate such a government. We have gone from a nation that has replaced love with tolerance and strong individuals with dependent weaklings. We have gone from a nation of people that forged quality products in the competition of a free market to a nation of people increasingly reliant on government handouts and imports. We have gone from a free-market capitalist nation to a NANNY STATE - - a nation of people who acknowledged God-given RIGHTS to a nation of people obsessed with state-dolled PRIVILEGES. We have thus allowed special PRIVILEGES to drive out individual RIGHTS. We have done this because we have allowed serious philosophical fallacies to undermine our thinking.

NARRATOR 7
PHILOSOPHICAL CHANGE OVER LONG-TERM

Over the past 234 years of our nation's existence, we have operated upon two distinct philosophic principles:

In the first 137-year era we operated upon principles that can be summarized by the following words: independence, liberty, competition, free enterprise capitalism, individual rights, laissez-faire government, non-interventionist foreign policy, gold-backed monetary system, lower taxes, little to no debt and limited government.

In the last 97-year era we operated upon principles that can be summarized by the following words: interdependence, license, regulation, monopoly capitalism, socialism, entitlements, unrequited foreign aid, nation-building, fiat currency, legal tender laws, taxes on every transaction, debilitating debt, loss of purchasing power and gargantuan government.

One of these eras is self-perpetuating, the other spells doom.

Let's take a look at exactly how we arrived in an era that is taking the United States in the same direction many great nations have wandered, past empires that are now dust.

NARRATOR 8 ROLE OF INTELLECTUALS

History has shown that the only way millions of people can be led into misfortune or doom is by their intellectuals, their thinkers, their philosophers. The reason this is so, is because almost all categories of human knowledge ultimately descend from philosophy. Values and religion are based upon philosophy. Culture is based upon philosophy. Politics is based upon philosophy.

Even SCIENCE is based upon philosophy, for the SCIENTIFIC METHOD itself is but a philosophical theory that began with the Greek philosopher Aristotle, came to fruition with the brilliance of Galileo and Francis Bacon but then was distorted by the POSITIVISM of the French philosopher, Auguste Comte, in the mid-19th century.

Yet the masses are usually unaware of this procession of thought, for they are busy with the day-to-day fight, the current election, the vicissitudes of existence, ironically an existence they must bare because of the particular PHILOSOPHY they have chained their lives to.

But what does any of this have to do with the Democrats or Republicans? Surely these two political parties represent entirely DIFFERENT political philosophies. Surely one is the right way and the other is the wrong way. Surely we have a choice.

Before answering this question, let's take a look at a concept many Americans have never considered in detail: what's known as the POLITICAL SPECTRUM.

NARRATOR 9

THE POLITICAL SPECTRUM: ONLY DEMS OR GOP?

What is a political spectrum? Wikipedia defines a political spectrum as "a way of modeling different political positions by placing them upon one or more geometric axes symbolizing independent political dimensions."

So when you were in school or college you learned that LIBERALS are on the left and CONSERVATIVES are on the right. You further learned that the Democrats are liberals and the Republicans are conservatives. Later in life you began to realize what philosophical values Democrats were supposed to have and what philosophical values Republicans were supposed to have. This accomplished, you were now in a position to fight for one side of the political spectrum or the other every election.

Your choices: Vote Democrat and support the liberal agenda of progressing society into a bigger, better more humanitarian whole where all people have a right to better education, health care, equality and a clean environment.

Or vote Republican and support the conservative agenda of maintaining those principles that made this nation free, independent, prosperous, and allowed an American Dream which is the envy of the world.

On the surface, both choices sound great. No wonder Americans campaign so vigorously for the Democrats or the Republicans every election year. No wonder so much money pours into these two political parties. No wonder America is so dynamic and free. Or is it?

What would you say if we were to tell you that all of this vigor is based on fraud? That you are NOT free and your country is NOT dynamic? In fact you are a victim of social engineering by POLITICAL PHILOSOPHERS? Remember the philosophers we discussed earlier? The ones most people don't pay much attention to? Well SOMEONE pays attention to them.

Who might this someone be?

NARRATOR 10
WHO USES PHILOSOPHERS?

This someone is people that want power. Politicians, think tanks, media companies, wealthy individuals, multinational corporations. People that want power over you, your thoughts, productivity and life. Social engineers often think they know what you need and want; know what you have a "right" to; and know how to PROVIDE the greatest good for the greatest number of people just like you. Their one-size-fits all reality is waiting for you at the top of the capitol steps.

But how could this be, you might ask? The Democrats and the Republicans are different; one stands for protecting the group against excesses of the individual, the other stands for granting the individual the freedom to excel. I am an American citizen; I get to vote; elections for one side or the other allow me to exercise this power.

NARRATOR 11
DEMS AND GOP ARE TOO SIMILAR

All fine and dandy, but what would you say if it could be demonstrated that the Democrats and the Republicans have evolved into essentially the SAME party? Two sides of the same coin. Two departments of the same company. A snake with two heads.

Just look at the past 50 years in America. No matter who wins on Election Day, we always get more taxes, more inflation, more bureaucracies, more wars, and LESS FREEDOM.

Both parties always push to expand government so it can assist their agenda. Oh, the Republicans promise to reduce government, but once in office they do just what the Democrats do. As Stanton Evans, once observed:
"Conservatives come to Washington knowing it's a sewer, but they always end up treating it like a hot tub."

Thus, by design or circumstance, the two political parties end up producing the same results: the expansion of the state. And this expansion is caused AND "justified" by the misguided philosophy that social programs and regulation provide benefits to society with no down side.

This misguided approach is now destroying us because we have been indoctrinated by the ideas of certain prominent philosophers over the past 200 years. The three most important being: Jean Jacques Rousseau and his idea that the General Will of society must be absolute in determining what government is to do; Auguste Comte and his idea that man's highest moral purpose is to altruistically sacrifice himself for humanity; and Karl Marx and his idea that the workers of the world should unite against capitalism.

All three of these ideas are false, yet they are subscribed to subconsciously by both Democrats and Republicans today. This is why both parties continue to move toward bigger government: they are trying to implement the fallacies of Rousseau, Comte, and Marx.

So, here's how you have been SCREWED by philosophy: that innocent, boring subject that only little old men with beards practice in ivory towers.

NARRATOR 12
THE CONSERVATIVE & PROGRESSIVE ERAS

Remember we said earlier that the United States has undergone two vast philosophical eras, the first being 137 years long and the second 97 years long? Well let's name those eras. The first era could be called the LIBERTARIAN-CONSERVATIVE ERA, or CONSERVATIVE ERA for short, and the second could be called the PROGRESSIVE ERA.

It could be said the conservative era began with George Washington and ended in 1913 when the Federal Reserve System was established. The Progressive Era began with Woodrow Wilson and is still going full bore with the national health care debates, trillion dollar deficits and bank bailouts.

During the CONSERVATIVE ERA the United States had a true annual growth rate of about 4%. During the PROGRESSIVE ERA the growth rate is claimed to be 4%, but this illusory figure has only been achieved through the use of fiat money and because the GDP figure of 4% has been doctored by government statisticians, as discussed by the well-known economist, John Williams, at ShadowStats.com. During the CONSERVATIVE ERA inflation, as measured by the CPI, decreased by 42% but during the PROGRESSIVE ERA it has risen an aggregate of 1,850%, and is still rising. In other words, the dollar emitted by the Federal Reserve System has lost over 95% of its purchasing power. During the CONSERVATIVE ERA real wages rose about 4% per year while real wages during the PROGRESSIVE era have been 2 to 3% and almost flat since 1972. During the CONSERVATIVE ERA the national debt was almost non-existent.

During the PROGRESSIVE ERA the national debt has risen to over \$12 trillion with long term liabilities now approaching \$75 trillion.

One of these eras had a future, the other does not. One of these eras is based upon the PHILOSOPHY that independence, liberty, competition, free enterprise capitalism, individual rights, laissez-faire government, non-interventionist foreign policy, gold-backed monetary system, lower taxes, little to no debt and limited government are wiser than interdependence, license, regulation, monopoly capitalism, socialism, entitlements, unrequited foreign aid, nation-building, fiat currency, legal tender laws, taxes on every transaction, debilitating debt, loss of purchasing power and gargantuan government to make it all happen.

Even though the words associated with progressivism may SOUND better or SEEM kinder, you can't tell a book from its COVER. Remember THAT philosophy?

NARRATOR 13
TRICKED BY A FALSE POLITICAL
SPECTRUM

And here's how you have been tricked by the cover: the political philosophers who engineered the PROGRESSIVE ERA redefined the economic-political spectrum. They did this by placing Communism on the far left and Fascism on the far right. (superimpose diagram) But, since both Communism and Fascism are dictatorships, any voter trying to steer a moderate course would naturally assume he wanted to be equal-distant from these two extremes, in the middle of the spectrum. But guess what is now in the middle of this contrived spectrum: WELFAIRISM.

This spectrum makes WELFARISM look like a moderate position because it's been fudged in between CAPITALISM and SOCIALISM, socialism defined as a milder form of Communism and Capitalism, defined as a milder form of Fascism.

NARRATOR 14
THE REAL POLITICAL SPECTRUM

Now, with this in mind, let's take a look at the REAL POLITICAL SPECTRUM, the spectrum political philosophers have been tampering with the past 97 years in order to create that last spectrum: what could be called the POLITICALLY CORRECT spectrum.

The REAL political spectrum is a spectrum that describes the SIZE of government, not the TYPE of government. The REAL political spectrum does not concern itself with what's "liberal" or what's "conservative" as these terms are relative to viewpoint, hence not objective. What one person wants to liberally change, the next wants to conservatively keep. So, the POLITICALLY CORRECT spectrum is meaningless for the citizen that's looking for objective truth. The REAL political spectrum looks like this: (superimpose diagram)

Since both Fascism and Communism are dictatorships, they both require governments of immense SIZE to operate: many laws and regulations are required to keep the citizens in line and "well" provided for. Thus, both of these should rightfully be on the far left of the REAL political spectrum where size does matter. But, if the far left of this spectrum has large governments that totally dominate -- TOTALITARIAN GOVERNMENTS -- what's the opposite of TOTAL government?

Well, the opposite of TOTAL government is ZERO government, also known as Anarchy. Thus, on the REAL political spectrum, Anarchy is on the right and totalitarianism is on the left.

Given the REAL political spectrum, Capitalism and a limited Republican government would be in the center -- the golden mean as Aristotle would say -- NOT Welfarism. So you can see that, by redefining the political spectrum, by adding Fascism to the right wing as an ultra-conservative ideology, political philosophers have been able to shift the WELFARE STATE to the center and CAPITALISM off to the far right.

NARRATOR 15

THE WELFARE STATE AS FALSE MEAN

With the WELFARE STATE fudged into the center of the spectrum, modern political philosophers have been able to morally "justify" the endless expansion of government for the purpose of providing welfare for the "COMMON GOOD." The great 13th Century philosopher, Thomas Aquinas, rightly pointed out that promoting the common good WAS desirable, but NOT by endlessly expanding the state: as NEW DEAL- and GREAT SOCIETY-mentalities have been doing. But some insist: "what's wrong with a strong beneficent state," as Jean Jacques Rousseau might say? "What's wrong with altruism," as Auguste Comte might say? "What's wrong with a classless, egalitarian society" as Karl Marx might say?

Unfortunately, there is a lot wrong with these old world philosophies, for the ideas of Rousseau, Comte and Marx must be thoroughly rejected if one wishes to accept the ideas of Locke, Jefferson and Adam Smith. And here's why.

NARRATOR 16
CANDY OF THE SOCIALIST STATE

The Founders of the United States studied philosophy like no others. They were aware of the effects of various POLITICAL philosophies, from Plato to Aristotle to Cicero, Aquinas and Machiavelli to Locke. They were aware of what KINDS of governments arose from what KINDS of philosophies. They were aware that governments that offered their citizens CANDY -- such as special privileges, entitlements, hand-outs, subsidies, welfare and stimulus packages -- were ultimately involved in subterfuge. These governments were attempting to covertly BUY their citizens, and their motive for doing this was not always just the benevolent side of altruism, for the act of handing out political CANDY, gives those in power the ability to control and dominate society as well. Because the state does not actually create wealth, any time the federal government PROVIDES something to a citizen, corporation, special interest group, or even a State, it must forcibly TAKE something AWAY from others. Worse, any time the federal government grants welfare, it expects to REGULATE the recipients of that welfare. The Founders knew about this trap, such cloaked in the euphemism known as "good GOVERNANCE" today. They knew that cries for "altruism" and the "greatest good" were ways that governments had "justified" their expansion and subjugation of the People for centuries.

ALTRUISM is thought to be kindness toward our fellow man.

But Auguste Comte, the philosopher who coined the term 150 years ago, defined it as "sacrifice of the individual to humanity" -- and all modern-day collectivists think of it this way: as sacrifice rather than benevolence.

They knew that any government, ESPECIALLY a democracy, would be tempted to justify its own expansion by such sacrifice for the, so-called common good. This is WHY the United States was designed to be a REPUBLIC based on limited government and NOT a democracy subject to mob-dictating entitlements. Given this, a limited republican government would be the golden mean such found at the center of the REAL political spectrum along with its natural analog of free-market capitalism.

NARRATOR 17

GREATER GOOD LEADS TO GREATER STATE

But is this the way it is today? Hardly. And the reason for this is because, for the past 97 years, the American People have been FLIP-FLOPPING between the same two political parties, parties that are now using an OLD WORLD strategy to stay in power: buying votes with endless welfare CANDY. Again, the only result we thus see from -- what could be called -- the Demopublicans or Republicrats is ever larger, more regulating government. Given the philosophical climate we are now in, the Demopublicans have fully adapted to the welfare state such "justified" by altruism. They know the best way to stay in power is to dispense endless hand outs and special privileges and justify it under the philosophy of DOING THE GREATEST GOOD FOR THE GREATEST NUMBER. Unfortunately, providing endless candy costs endless money.

Where is the money going to come from?

It would come in 1913. You may recall the end of the CONSERVATIVE ERA and start of the PROGRESSIVE ERA came in 1913. From that year on the United States steadily declined as a result of two major events: the founding of the Federal Reserve System and the levy of the Income Tax. Thus, here is where the money to fund the WELFARE state was to come from: DEBT and TAXES. Endless DEBT and endless TAXES. In other words, welcome to today's fiat currency-driven, over-taxed society.

NARRATOR 18

YOU ARE A DEBT AND TAX SLAVE

As a result of these philosophically imprudent and unconstitutional changes, you are now a DEBT and TAX slave to the state and the special interests that contribute campaign funds to maintain the Demopublican status quo. In order for your government to PROVIDE all the privileges the Great Society thinks it is ENTITLED to, YOU -- the DEBT-servicing, TAX-paying citizen -- have unwittingly permitted an endless parade of Democrats and Republicans who have now relegated YOU to little more than TAXPAYER, BORROWER and CONSUMER. And BOTH of these parties are now DEDICATED to making sure you REMAIN in this POSITION.

Preposterous you say: the two parties are different. Unfortunately they aren't ... and even if they ARE -- their RESULTS are the SAME. Except for the brief interludes of Barry Goldwater and Ronald Reagan, the Republican party steadily shed its conservative principles, as its addiction to fiat money-generated debt increased.

With the advent of the neo-conservatives, the Republican party jumped into the hot tub with the Democrats in a mad frenzy to provide handouts in exchange for votes. Thus, the WELFARE state is now not only a product of the Democrats, but the Republicans as well. And a myriad of intellectuals, clergy and media justified it by, not only succumbing to cultural Marxism, but the misplaced altruism of Conte and the bastardization of Aquinas' philosophy of the "common good".

NARRATOR 19
PRIVILEGES FOR VOTES

Ergo, if the Republicans are now operating upon the same survival strategy as the Democrats -- dispensing privileges for votes -- can real change come from the GOP? The SIZE of the government will continue to increase under both parties. Is it thus any wonder establishment political philosophers have been obfuscating the REAL political spectrum? After all, they don't want the American voters to realize that the Democrats, and now the Republicans, are taking the country down the road to TOTALITARIANISM. Such a realization could result in another Ross Perot -- but this time a Ross Perot that actually broke the monopoly.

NARRATOR 20
HISTORY OF THE WORLD

So let's summarize and put this into perspective. The history of the world shows that every government that existed, PRIOR to the founding of the United States in 1776, tended toward oligarchy -- government by a small elite group -- and some were more repressive and dictatorial than others.

And every such government justified its existence and necessary SIZE, by the philosophies of: promoting the "general will," "the greatest good for the greatest number," the "common good," the "GENERAL WELFARE" and the virtue of "altruism". Ask NOT what your country can do for YOU, ask what YOU can do for your country, as one Democrat once shouted from a podium. In other words, the OLD WORLD VIEW of government, which existed prior to 1776, could be summed up by the term, COLLECTIVISM. The NEW WORLD VIEW that existed in America for a brief period between 1776 and 1913 could be summed up by the term INDIVIDUALISM. Promote individual ability and you will automatically build a strong national collective. But if one sets out to build a strong collective, all they will end up building are cowed, dependent citizens who eventually become dominated by an oversized, tyrannical state. But this is what totalitarian mentalities WANT. They WANT cowed, dependent citizens, otherwise how can the state justify the re-distribution of wealth under countless programs and endless so-called "good governance" for the common good?

Again, practically every one of the governments in the OLD WORLD was a repressive and dictatorial oligarchy with a collectivist mentality. The idea of God-given rights for citizens wasn't even on the horizon. Then, along came philosophic minds like Locke, Jefferson, Smith, Adams, Madison, Henry and Paine. In other words the guiding geniuses and Founding Fathers of the United States. Thus 234 years ago, something new, and quite precious, emerged out of the mud.

And for 137 years we managed to keep the MUD off our boots, but gradually by 1913 certain little old men in ivory towers had managed to revive the OLD WORLD's philosophies. Under the guise of the PROGRESSIVE ERA, collectivism was again on the march. Collectivism -- the age-old disease of governments -- was back in the saddle from 1913 to the present.

NARRATOR 21
SECRET MEETING ON JEKYLL ISLAND

To promote this collectivist resurgence, the world's top BANKERS secretly met on Jekyll Island in 1910 and conspired to impose an OLD WORLD, European-style central bank on the American people. This scheme, which became the Federal Reserve Act three years later, would eventually remove all gold and silver backing from the currency and relegate U.S. Citizens to the slavery of servicing endless DEBT, based on fiat currency, currency printed out of thin air. Upon this so-called elastic currency, the modern WELFARE-WARFARE state has been erected over the past 97 years. Upon this welfare-warfare state, TOTALITARIAN mentalities of the OLD WORLD have reached out from the grave and splattered their collectivist philosophies into the American Experiment, an experiment, until then, of limited, self-government and laissez-faire capitalism that had built the most successful, free nation the World has ever known.

Now we are back in the MUD. The collectivist mentalities -- using "ALTRUISM" to justify the endless expansion of the state -- are once again attempting to reign in liberty with their political tools: the Democratic and Republican parties.

But these Parties also support and use additional tools, such as the Counsel on Foreign Relations, the UN, the IMF/World Bank, the World Trade Organization, NAFTA, GATT and the World Court. The Democrats and Republicans are now more loyal to these international organizations than they are to their own nation and citizens. So what are you going to do about it, Mister and Miss self-governing U.S. Citizen?

NARRATOR 22

MAJOR PARTIES CONSOLIDATE TO AVOID COMPETITION

Consider this: if the Republicans have now altered their survival strategy to mimic the Democrats, how can real reform come from the Republican Party, the GOP? We believe it can't and won't. This is why a third political party is needed. The Democrats and Republicans are always inhibited from confronting certain touchy issues, issues that are necessary for genuine reform. A third party does not have this problem. It does not have to avoid touchy issues or massage its message because it does not HAVE to win an election -- at least not immediately. All a Third Party candidate has to do is present a NEW VISION of what we could do as a nation to solve our problems. This new vision could stimulate some much-needed change. Many Americans intuitively know that NO change means a continual drift towards more government and totalitarianism, replete with the potential loss of the U.S. Republic. In light of this, we believe that more citizens than ever are ready to listen to new visions. And this is why the Tea Party Revolution is happening.

NARRATOR 23
 WHY THIRD PARTIES HAVE FAILED TO
 BREAK DEM-GOP MONOPOLY

But still, third parties have not been able to prevail with a new vision since the Republican Party superceded the Whig Party in 1860 with the election of Abraham Lincoln. Why is this if people are truly ready for change? Let's take a closer look and see exactly why the Republicans and Democrats have been able to maintain a stranglehold for over 150 years.

Ross Perot's REFORM PARTY, the LIBERTARIAN PARTY and the CONSTITUTION PARTY have all presented hope from time to time, however each has failed to get enough votes because they have made one of two vital mistakes: They have either MARGINALIZED themselves in the public mind, or presented themselves as CLONES of an existing party.

Thus MARGINALIZATION and CLONING are the primary reasons no third political party has been successful in challenging the Demopublican monopoly.

Exactly what do we mean by MARGINALIZATION? Marginalization is the flaw of the Libertarian and Constitution Parties, if we may use them as examples. These two fine parties have an IDEAL vision of how they feel society should be politically organized, but they attempt to implement this vision too quickly. Though their vision may be correct, the public can't assimilate it. These parties have lost sight of the idea that: POLITICS IS A GAME OF INCREMENTALISM. The public subconsciously knows this, for it realizes that one can't just instantly abolish the income tax or the Federal Reserve System.

After all, where WOULD their welfare come from if tax money and fiat money were suddenly gone? Third parties thus MARGINALIZE themselves by expressing visions that seem too drastic or provide no incremental solutions. Thus neither the Libertarian or Constitution Party has ever received more than 1% of the vote. Instead they are considered fringe, utopians and mostly ignored. This is what it means to be MARGINALIZED.

CLONING is the flaw groups like Ross Perot's REFORM PARTY and JOHN ANDERSON'S independent candidacy make. Because of its desire to immediately win the presidency, the REFORM PARTY, for instance, became too similar to the Democrats and Republicans. While a Libertarian Party advocates too much radicalness, a REFORM party advocates no radicalness. The only "change" the REFORM party offered was that it would somehow "manage" the monstrous government more efficiently: *its* executives and personnel would somehow do a better job. But this was not enough and the public did not vote for the REFORM party. It offered no new visions, and seemed like a CLONE of the already existing parties that, *at least*, had more experience.

CLONING and MARGINALIZATION are thus the classic mistakes all recent third parties have been making. They offer too much change or not enough. More specifically: they fail to offer a long-term philosophically SOUND vision, well-aligned with Founding principles, and incrementally delivered, such that the public is not alarmed about LOSING anything.

NARRATOR 24
PLATFORM OF A SUCCESSFUL 3RD PARTY

So, if this is why third parties have been failing, let's take a look at HOW THE TEA PARTY REVOLUTION COULD CAUSE A THIRD PARTY TO SUCCEED.

Not only does an existing or new Third Party have to avoid marginalization and cloning, it has to address vital issues -- not just popcorn issues the Democrats and Republicans bat back and forth all day long on national TV, mostly for ratings.

Given that the U.S. Republic has been mired in endless DEBT and TAXATION the past 97 years, and this debt and taxation has been the drug used to keep the welfare-warfare state stimulated and constantly expanding, a Third Party should make these addictions its primary domestic issues. Specifically, establishing better control over the Federal Reserve System's excessive expansion of the currency AND the phasing out of the PROGRESSIVENESS of the income tax. This will allow us to get control of the incessant growth of government.

Two secondary issues that should be addressed are ILLEGAL IMMIGRATION and FOREIGN POLICY. Is it healthy for our nation to be invaded by millions of people that have not been invited? Is it healthy for the U.S. to have over 700 military bases in 130 countries around the world? Can we afford to ignore either of these issues?

Let's take a more detailed look at the FIRST two issues upon which a successful third party might be built: SOUND MONETARY SYSTEM and EQUAL RATE INCOME TAX.

NARRATOR 25
SOUND MONETARY SYSTEM

What do we mean by a sound monetary system? Article I, Sections 8 and 10 of the U.S. Constitution state that the lawful money of the United States must be gold and silver coin. Further, Article I, states that "bills of credit" are not permitted. From 1913, when the Federal Reserve System was established, until the present day, the U.S. Government and the elite bankers have partnered to create what's known as "fiat money" or an "elastic currency." This means that gold and silver coin are no longer used as money. These have been replaced by Federal Reserve Notes, also known as BILLS OF CREDIT because these Federal Reserve Notes are no longer redeemable in the gold or silver coin stipulated as lawful money in the U.S. Constitution. Today the "money" of the United States, in VIOLATION of the U.S. Constitution, is nothing but paper and worthless metal tokens. Unlike gold and silver, PAPER can be printed up endlessly. The ability for a government to print money on demand and then lend it into the economy, is like a heroin addict having the ability to acquire all the heroin he wants and injecting it into his brain. Eventually the brain -- like the government -- is going to have a meltdown. This is not a very sound monetary system.

Americans are thus using a precarious monetary system, one that endlessly inflates the currency and causes the boom and bust periods of the so-called business cycle.

This is an unconstitutional system imposed by a cartel of mercenary bankers who have partnered with politicians addicted to the hog-wild power of administering a multi-trillion dollar welfare state funded with green pieces of paper printed out of thin air.

NARRATOR 26
FOLLY OF PAPER MONEY

Since the money supply is now nothing but PAPER, the government prints up so-called money at a faster rate than the expansion of products in the society. As this happens, the price of products rises, because there are excess dollars in the economy. When there are excess dollars in the economy, the value of each dollar decreases, thus requiring MORE of them to purchase any given product. Thus, when the voters scream for still more hand-outs, the representatives get much of this money by borrowing it from the Federal Reserve, who, again, literally prints it out of thin air. The Fed and its member banks, then get to charge U.S. Citizens interest on most of this newly-created fiat currency. In order to pay this interest, the government collects ever-increasing taxes from the citizens. Much of this tax revenue pays interest to the bankers who hold the national debt. This is a key reason both the FED and the IRS were established in the same year, 1913 - - to guarantee debt service on the national debt. Roosevelt, justified the welfare programs of the NEW DEAL under the OLD WORLD economic philosophy of John Maynard Keynes. This turned out to be a RAW DEAL for American citizens, given we are now so seriously in debt and the dollar is now in jeopardy as the world reserve currency.

A successful third political party would thus address the need to INCREMENTALLY bring this wayward monetary system back under the principles set forth in Article I of the U.S. Constitution. As part of this necessity, the Federal Reserve would NOT be abolished, it would be limited. It may need to be audited as well, but to END THE FED too quickly, as Ron Paul warns, would be disastrous. If the Fed's ability to expand the money supply were simply limited to an automatic expansion of say 4%, as the late economist, Milton Freedman suggested, and/or we placed gold and silver back into circulation as an untaxed, competing currency -- the wanton expansion of the money supply would be curtailed and the dollar could once again grow strong.

At the very least, a competing gold-backed monetary system should be allowed to go into competition with the Federal Reserve Note, otherwise, if the dollar and/or the Federal Reserve System crashes, as it almost did in the Fall of 2008, we will have no tested back up system in place. The testing of such a back up monetary system could occur in one or several states.

NARRATOR 27

EQUAL RATE TAXES

Abolishing the income tax from America is the ultimate goal of any libertarian or conservative but this can't be done over night. The first step is to end PROGRESSIVE rates. How is it fair that some Americans are charged at a rate of 50% while others are charged 20% and still others are charged nothing at all?

How does this square with principles set forth in the Declaration of Independence, where all citizens are supposed to have "equality under law"? Our whole concept of justice is based on the concept of equal RIGHTS under law. This was the philosophy that informed the Constitution and guided the U.S. through its first 127 years of existence. It is thus SHAMEFUL that an activist Supreme Court and an irresponsible Congress would permit a BIASED tax rate. How such a blatant INEQUALITY could come about can only be explained by the socialist thinking that erupted from 1913 onward. Liberal voices infested the government with the egalitarian vision of Marx, so much so, the OLD WORLD's collectivist-mentality won the day: society had to be leveled for the greatest good. Equal RESULTS, not equal RIGHTS, was the mantra -- and still is. Thus we now have 50% of our citizens paying almost 100% of our national income tax. To be more exact: the upper 50% of all income earners pay 96% of the taxes, while the lower 50% pay only 4%. AND, half of this lower echelon pay ZERO taxes.

Ever wonder WHY we have never been able to abolish the income tax? Well, if half the people are paying little or no taxes -- yet these same people get returns, privileges, welfare and government services -- why would they vote to change such a system? When large groups of voters are allowed the privilege of paying little or nothing in taxes, an irresponsible electorate will evolve to demand a steady expansion of government services. If government benefits are free, or nearly free, demand for them will be unlimited. Thus the progressive income tax has spawned a "something-for-nothing" voter mind-set that now dominates all elections.

Accordingly, BOTH the Democrats and Republicans have learned, that, in order to stay in power, they must submit to endless demands for pork and earmarks, otherwise they will lose an automatic 50% of the vote.

Reason thus informs us that, in order to effectuate real change in the American economy, the income tax RATE, must be the SAME for all citizens, for only when ALL of the voters are paying the SAME tax, will there be enough incentive to REDUCE the income tax burden or eventually replace it with a Constitutional sales tax. Admittedly, this is tough love, but the rational citizen will realize that, only when everyone is suffering EQUALLY, will everyone have EQUAL "political will" to vote for politicians that actually REDUCE the SIZE of government. Only then can our increasingly totalitarian state, funded by escalating, unfair taxes and unconstitutional, addictive fiat money be returned to the Republic envisioned by the Founders.

NARRATOR 28
POWER OF THE PHILOSOPHERS

Given the unsustainable WELFARE and WARFARE state we have built the past 97 years, ONLY powerful, but misguided, political philosophers could have indoctrinated so many millions of minds into the belief that there is such a thing as a free lunch -- or that governments must forcibly collect money from citizens in order to help the poor. Helping the poor is just another justification for the welfare state' relentless expansion. The poor are helped by raising the general welfare, meaning increased economic freedom and productivity: not by allowing a multi-trillion dollar parasite into the food chain.

Contrary to the "politically correct" philosophy of the day -- the state robs the poor like no other. And, often, those who scream loudest about concern for the poor, are REALLY screaming because THEY don't want the responsibility of helping the poor to fall upon THEIR shoulders -- they want the state to take care of it.

Yes, as the Founders well-knew, the cry of "ALTRUISM", is one of the grand justifiers collectivists and totalitarians have used for millennia.

Recall, we stated that the growth rate of the CONSERVATIVE ERA was around 4% per year. The growth rate for the 20th century PROGRESSIVE ERA was about the same -- but there's a catch. THIS growth rate was accomplished as a result of endlessly "stimulating" the economy by massively inflating the money supply with fiat currency. Through this practice, known as "monetary policy", the Federal Reserve, not only caused booms and busts, but the Great Depression, the inflation of the 1970s and long-term rising prices that have eroded over 95% of the dollar's purchasing power. Sure, the endless tide of booms and busts may average out to a growth rate of around 4%, but which would you rather have, economic chaos, like what we have today, or steady, long-term growth based on a sound, constitutional monetary system? For those who REALLY want to help the poor -- and the middle class for that matter -- the answer is obvious.

Unfortunately, the valid doctrine handed down by Aquinas -- that we should provide for the "common good" -- has been hijacked and perverted by the state and the collectivist mentalities that surround it like so many flies around cotton candy. For, to the degree the state can get everyone dependent on its CANDY, it destroys its competitors -- the voluntary altruism of the family unit, church, and individuals -- and bolsters its own false indispensability.

NARRATOR 29
EXPECTED RESULTS OF A THIRD PARTY

So how could a third party improve these conditions of existence? It can't do it immediately. The Democrats and Republicans are too entrenched. The electorate has been too indoctrinated. The cultural Marxists, that dominate the media, academia and the clergy, have been marching through these institutions since the 1960s. The public has been saturated with "political correctness." The philosophy of dependency over independence, the collective over the individual, debt over capital formation, MUD over LIBERTY.

Again, it would probably be difficult for a third party espousing a libertarian-conservative philosophy to be elected to office at this time. BUT, what IS possible is for that third party to get 15% of the vote which would qualify it for entrance into the national TV presidential debates where it could then vigorously challenge the obtuseness and corruption of the major parties.

All present-day polls indicate that at least 15 to 20 percent of the American people want the Federal Reserve tamed. They want a flat tax and/or the IRS abolished. They want illegal aliens to stop invading the United States, and they want the U.S. Military to stop intervening all over the world.

Thus, a third political party, based upon these four planks, would be able to make it into the TV election debates and break the monopoly of ideas that the Demopublicans currently have.

Unfortunately, as you may recall -- after Ross Perot won the national presidential debates back in 1992, the COMMISSION ON PRESIDENTIAL DEBATES, controlled by the Democrats and Republicans, arbitrarily set the number of votes a potential candidate needed to get onto the debate stage to 15%. What actually happened was the Democrats and Republicans covertly ordered this change to perfect their MONOPOLY over the public's awareness and choices. Thus, this cabal of entrenched parties could be said to be duplicitous in the silencing of voices that are vital to the free flow of ideas in a democratic society.

So beware -- and scream out loud -- if this CABAL raises the bar still higher than the already exclusionary 15%. They could again resort to dirty tricks in order to suppress a Third Party that is making too much sense and becoming too popular.

NARRATOR 30
PRESENTING A NEW VISION

Getting a candidate into the televised national presidential debates would expose a new vision to the 70 million voters who tune in every election year. By contrast, the primary debates, such as the ones Ron Paul participated in back in 2008, only reached about 10 million people -- but look at the positive visions that continue to come out of this.

Even though a third party candidate would campaign with all intentions of winning, at the very least he or she could present new visions that would challenge the Democrats and Republicans to effectuate real change, rather than just make hollow promises and give lip-service to the Constitution. If the Demopublicans refused to change, the new third party could, and probably WOULD, eventually garner enough votes to WIN office.

THIS is a reasonable vision. A vision that could place a third party candidate onto big-time TV and into major league politics. A vision that would rock the nation, make some history ... but most importantly, stop the runaway freight train of government growth that is destined to destroy our great Republic unless we find our way back to the principles set forth in the U.S. Constitution.

If this makes sense to you, contact us at www.SpoilerUSA.org to help complete this film and contact AMERICANS FOR A FREE REPUBLIC at www.afr.org to get details on THE CONSERVATIVE REVOLUTION and why we must form a third political party to win it.

NARRATOR 31 (PICKUPS)
Nelson Hultberg. Edwin Vieira.
Pat Buchanan. Ron Paul. G. Edward
Griffin. Ted Baehr Ross Perot.
Here we are in 2011, Here we are in
2012, Here we are decades later.